

Frederik KORTLANDT

Leiden University

BALTO-SLAVIC ACUTE

Abstract. There is no evidence for an acute as the phonetic reflex of a lengthened grade vowel in Balto-Slavic.

Keywords: Balto-Slavic; Indo-European; historical phonology; accentology; acute; laryngeal; lengthened grade.

Since the First International Conference on Historical Linguistics (Edinburgh 1973) I have advocated the thesis that the Balto-Slavic acute is glottalization and has nothing to do with tonal movements (e.g. Kortlandt 1975; 1985a)¹. Thirty years later my thesis was taken over by Jay Jasanoff (cf. 2017, 71), who also adopted my view that the “history of the BSl. languages after the period of unity is in large part the history of how acuteness, originally an independent variable, came gradually to be absorbed into the accent system” (2017, 233; cf. Kortlandt 1977; 2011, 157–176). Unfortunately, Jasanoff evidently has not understood the implications of the new theory, perhaps because he is not sufficiently familiar with the data (cf. Kortlandt 2009, 81–86; 2010, 337–339). The main problem of Balto-Slavic accentuation is not stress or tone but quantity (cf. Vermeer 1992; Kortlandt 2015b).

Jasanoff’s analysis of the Balto-Slavic acute is entirely based on his outdated reconstruction of the Proto-Germanic vowel system, which allegedly included a distinction between long (bimoric) and hyperlong (trimoric) vowels that were allegedly rephonemicized as acute versus non-acute long vowels in Balto-Slavic by the insertion of *stød* in the former (2017, 78). Unfortunately, Germanic and Balto-Slavic were never contiguous Indo-European dialects

¹ My dissertation is not Kortlandt 1975 (thus Jasanoff 2017, 81¹⁶), which originated as a critique of Ebeling 1967, but Kortlandt 1972, because I started out as a mathematical linguist.

(cf. Kortlandt 2016; 2018c) and spontaneous glottalization is unattested anywhere in the world. Glottalization develops either (directly or indirectly) from a consonantal feature or from the apocope of a following syllable. Both developments are found in Danish (cf. Kortlandt 2010, 165–174, 293–318). Only the former can explain the Balto-Slavic acute, which occurs in any syllable.

Jasanoff’s bimoric and trimoric long vowels largely represent Indo-European VH- and VHV-sequences, which are reflected as acute and non-acute long vowels in Balto-Slavic. He distinguishes between three types of “inherent long vowels” (2017, 75), viz. apophonic long vowels in Narten ablaut and *vṛddhi* derivation, long vowels allegedly from Szemerényi’s law, e.g. nom. sg. **-tēr* < ***-ters*, and long vowels allegedly from inner-IE contraction, e.g. nom. pl. **-ōs* < **-o-es*. The latter two types require special *ad hoc* rules because the circumflex tone of Lith. *duktė́* ‘daughter’ < **-ēr*, *akmuō* ‘stone’ < **-ōn*, inst. pl. *-aĩs* < **-ōis*, dat. sg. *-uĩ* < **-ōi*, also gen. sg. *-o* < **-ōd* (Latin *-ōd*, not ***-ād*, Lith. *-o* from unstressed **-ō*, cf. Kortlandt 1977, 323), is contrary to the acute expected by Jasanoff (2017, 89–92). These forms actually disprove Jasanoff’s theory. Conversely, the acc. pl. ending of the *aH*-stems, e.g. Lith. *gerąsias* ‘the good’, directly continues **-aHns*, not **-ās* < **-āms* (thus Jasanoff 2017, 77⁷). The gen. pl. ending Lith. *-ų*, OPr. *-on*, Slavic *-v* represents **-om*, Gothic *ā*-stems *-o* < **-ōan* < **-ā-om*, *i*-stems *-e* < **-ēan* < **-ei-om*, with introduction of the full grade suffix of the nom. pl. form, as in the Slavic *i*- and *u*-stems (cf. Kortlandt 1978; 2014a).

The pre-laryngealist idea that any Proto-Indo-European long vowel became acute in Balto-Slavic is a typical example of philosophical speculation contradicted by the comparative evidence. Other examples of philosophical speculation are Jasanoff’s spontaneous glottalization, his trimoraic long vowels (cf. Boutkan 1995; Yoshida 2012, 240–242), Eichner’s law (cf. Kortlandt 2010, 365–368), Osthoff’s law (cf. Kortlandt 2014b, 220), and Szemerényi’s law, which is an instance of circular reasoning: the long vowel is allegedly explained by the supposed loss of the consonant that is postulated in order to account for the long vowel. It is hard to see how Szemerényi’s law can account for such instances as Greek ὕδωρ ‘water’, ἠχώ < **-ōi* ‘echo’, Vedic loc. sg. *agná* < **-ēi* ‘fire’, *sūnáu* ‘son’. In my view, the Proto-Indo-European long vowels **ē* and **ō* originated from phonetic lengthening in monosyllables and before final resonants (cf. Wackernagel 1896, 66–68; Kortlandt 2015a).

According to Jasanoff (2017, 81f.), “the main impetus for the glottalic theory in the form adopted by Kortlandt was the much-quoted, almost off-the-cuff 1958 observation by Roman Jakobson that no language adds to the pair /t/ ~ /d/ a voiced aspirate /dh/ without having its voiceless counterpart /th/”. This is nonsense. The traditional reconstruction was challenged for various reasons by Pedersen (1951), Martinet (1953), Andreev (1957), Swadesh (1971) and others (cf. Kortlandt 2010, 12–15), but their work is evidently unknown to Jasanoff. Haudricourt reports (1975, 267) that as early as 1948 he arrived at the conclusion that the traditional voiced stops of the Indo-European proto-language were in fact glottalic and that the original pronunciation has been preserved in East Armenian. His argumentation was based on the types of phonetic development attested in the Far East. The negative attitude of Bloch and Kuryłowicz toward his view apparently kept him from publication. If Haudricourt, Pedersen, Martinet, Andreev and Swadesh had met at a conference in the late 1940-s, the glottalic theory might have become popular a generation earlier than it actually did.

It is remarkable that the comparative evidence has largely been left out of consideration in the discussion of the glottalic theory. I have argued that there is direct evidence from Indo-Iranian, Armenian, Baltic and Germanic and indirect evidence from Indo-Iranian, Greek, Latin and Slavic (cf. Kortlandt 1985b; 2017c). In recent years new evidence from Indo-Iranian, Greek, Latin, Germanic, Slavic and Anatolian has been added (e.g. Lubotsky 2007; 2013; Pronk-Tiethoff 2013; Garnier 2014; Kloekhorst 2014; 2015; 2016). Jasanoff completely disregards the comparative evidence except in the case of Lachmann’s law, where he rejects the evidence on the basis of a mistaken analysis of Ukrainian dialectal material with imperfect voicing assimilation, e.g. in *vezty* ‘to carry’.²

Jasanoff adduces the Austronesian language Kelabit as a parallel for the traditional reconstruction of a Proto-Indo-European system with **t*, **d*, **d^h* without **t^h* (2017, 83). This is again based on a mistaken analysis of the data. The Kelabit “voiced aspirates” *b^h*, *d^h*, *g^h* are voiced stops that are followed by homorganic voiceless stops that may be followed by little or

² Cf. Jasanoff 2004, referring to Andersen 1969. The fricative in this word is voiceless lenis, sometimes written [z^s] in the Ukrainian tradition (cf. Broch 1900, 31; Žovtobryx 1965, 76; Andersen 1969, 169). There is no lengthening of the preceding vowel.

no aspiration: [bp^(h)], [dt^(h)], [gk^(h)] (cf. Blust 2006, 313). They occur only intervocalically after the stress and alternate with *b*, *d*, *g* in other positions, e.g. *táb^həŋ* ‘felling of trees’, *tabəŋən* ‘fell it!’, *kátəd* ‘back’, *kətád^hən* ‘be left behind’. Other consonants are lengthened after a stressed *shwa*, e.g. [kát:əd], [tábəŋ:ən]. After hearing word tokens illustrating the “voiced aspirates”, Peter Ladefoged was sure that they must be consonant clusters of voiced and voiceless segments (Blust 2006, 318⁴). Historically related segments in other North Sarawak languages represent earlier voiced geminates. Nearly all other sources than Blust write *bp*, *dt*, *gk* for the Kelabit “voiced aspirates”. Thus, Blust’s superscript *h* denotes devoicing halfway the double consonant whereas in the Indo-European tradition superscript *h* denotes the breathy voice of a single consonant, which is practically the opposite (cf. also Stuart-Smith 2004, 18).

East Baltic metatony resulted from retractions of the stress from a prevocalic **i* and from word-final *-*à* (cf. Derksen 1996, 374–377). Jasanoff adopts Larsson’s hypothesis (2004) that a short vowel was lengthened when it received the stress from a following prevocalic **i*, attributes all instances of *métatonie douce* to this retraction followed by analogy, and completely disregards *métatonie rude* (2017, 84–86). In fact, the lengthening of short vowels is analogical (cf. already Derksen 1996, 52), as is especially clear from its absence in *ragānius*, *vandēnis*, *auksinis*, *vasāris*, *beuodēgis*, *bemotēris*, *drapānis*, and the alleged spread of *métatonie douce* “as a derivational marker to related nominal and verbal categories” is completely unmotivated. Jasanoff attributes the circumflex lengthened grade in *žolē* (4) ‘grass’ and *gēlà* (4) ‘pain’ to analogy because he would expect an acute. In combination with the possibility of attributing any unexpected acute to an imagined “Narten system” this puts an end to the falsifiability of his theory (cf. also Petit 2010, 113–139).

In fact, the concept of a Narten system is a mirage (cf. de Vaan 2004; Kortlandt 2012). Jasanoff assumes (2017, 86) Lith. *vārna* ‘crow’ and *vilkė* ‘she-wolf’ to be *vṛddhi* derivatives of *vařnas* ‘raven’ and *vīlķas* ‘wolf’ though the former pair can hardly be separated from Latin *corvus*, *cornīx* and Greek *κόραξ*, *κορώνη* and the latter pair is identical with Sanskrit *vṛķas*, *vṛķís*. While the latter words have a zero grade root that is incompatible with *vṛddhi*, the former pair must rather be compared with Russian *sérna* ‘roe deer’ and Latvian *mēļns* ‘black’ beside Lith. *šiřvas* ‘grey’, *muļvas* ‘reddish’

(cf. Kortlandt 1985a, 121). Actual *vṛddhi* formations in Balto-Slavic do not have an acute root, e.g. Serbo-Croatian *jáje* ‘egg’, *měso* ‘meat’, Lith. *mėsà* (4), Žemaitian *męsà* (4), Latvian *miesa*, Greek *ῥόν*, Vedic *māṃsám*. On the other hand, Lith. *vilkė* ‘she-wolf’, *zūkė* ‘she-hare’, *šėrnė* ‘wild sow’ beside masc. *vilkas*, *zuikis*, *šėrnas* have regular *métatonie rude* as a result of the accent retraction from a prevocalic **i* < **iH*, analogically Latvian *siēva* ‘wife’ (cf. already Trautmann 1923, 301). The long rising vowel in the Latvian iteratives *nęsāt*, *tękāt*, *lękāt*, *mętāt* is strongly reminiscent of the long rising vowel in the Serbo-Croatian iteratives *nósati*, *vódati*, *vózati*, *hódati* and is clearly the result of a recent development (cf. Schuyt 1990, 375f.; Derksen 1996, 335–343). It cannot be compared with the lengthened grade of Latin *cēlāre* ‘to hide’, Greek *πηδάω* ‘leap’ (thus Jasanoff 2017, 87) because the latter represent a different formation (cf. Schuyt 1990, 381–386; Petit 2010, 136–138). Latvian *ruōta* ‘ornament’ is a western variant of *rùota* and cannot be used (cf. Derksen 1996, 263–265). Latvian *nuōma*, Lith. *núoma* ‘lease’ cannot be separated from Russian *naēm* ‘hire’ and represents **no-ǝm-* ‘take on’.

The acc. pl. ending of the *o*-stems **-oHns* took its laryngeal from the *aH-*, *iH-* and *uH-* stems because the laryngeal had been lost before the final nasal in the acc. sg. endings *-ām* < **-aHm*, *-īm* < **-iHm*, *-ūm* < **-uHm* in the Central Indo-European languages (i.e. Classic Indo-European without Italo-Celtic, cf. Kortlandt 2017b).³ Since I have discussed monosyllabic lengthening in detail elsewhere (2014b; 2015a; 2017a; 2017b), I shall not return to the matter here, and the same holds for the pronouns (Kortlandt 2009, 89–92; 2013). Contrary to Jasanoff’s assertions (2017, 97–99), the Serbo-Croatian forms *dōnijeh* ‘I brought’, *ūmrijeh* ‘I died’, *zàklēh* ‘I swore’ show the expected reflex of the lengthened grade vowel and never had final stress because the sigmatic aorist belonged to accent paradigm (b), which did not shift the stress onto a final jer.⁴ Again contrary to Jasanoff’s expectations (2017, 101f.), the regular circumflex reflex of the lengthened grade is also found in the Lith.

³ Jasanoff questions the relevance of Latvian *ābuōls* ‘apple’ and Serbo-Croatian *žērāv* ‘crane’ (2017, 90), for no good reason. He cites Kortlandt 1994, which should not be consulted because it is full of printer’s errors. The correct text is Kortlandt 1989, English version in Kortlandt 2011 (157–176, see also 277–309).

⁴ On the sigmatic future and aorist see further Kortlandt 2002; 2014b; 2015a; 2017a; 2018a; 2018b.

preterits *bě̀rè* ‘strewed’, *lě̀kè* ‘flew’, *ě̀mè* ‘took’, which represent original root aorists (cf. Kortlandt 2007, 154f.). The Baltic *ē*-preterit must be compared with the Slavic imperfect, e.g. Lith. *vė̀dė* ‘led’, OCS *vedě-aše* (cf. Kortlandt 2009, 185–187 on the distribution of *ā*- and *ē*-preterits in Baltic). I conclude that there is no evidence for an acute as the phonetic reflex of a lengthened grade vowel in Balto-Slavic.

BALTŲ IR SLAVŲ AKŪTAS

Santrauka

Duomenų, kuriais būtų galima pagrįsti nuomonę, kad akūtas yra ide. pailgintojo laipsnio balsio refleksas baltų ir slavų kalbose, nėra.

REFERENCES

- Andersen, Henning 1969, Indo-European voicing sandhi in Ukrainian, *Scando-Slavica* 15, 157–169.
- Andreev, Nikolaj D. 1957, Periodizacija istorii indoevropskogo prajazyka, *Voprosy Jazykoznanija* 1957(2), 3–18.
- Blust, Robert 1981, The origin of the Kelabit voiced aspirates: A historical hypothesis revisited, *Oceanic Linguistics* 45(2), 311–338.
- Boutkan, Dirk 1995, *The Germanic ‘Auslautgesetze’* (= *Leiden Studies in Indo-European* 4), Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- Broch, Olaf 1900, *Ugrorusskoe narěčie sela Ubli*, Sankt-Peterburg: Tipografija Imperatorskoj Akademii Nauk.
- Derksen, Rick 1996, *Metatony in Baltic* (= *Leiden Studies in Indo-European* 6), Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- Ebeling, Carl L. 1967, Historical laws of Slavic accentuation, in *To honor Roman Jakobson* 1, The Hague: Mouton, 577–593.
- Garnier, Romain 2014, Nouvelles considérations sur l’effet Kortlandt, *Glotta* 90, 139–159.
- Haudricourt, André-Georges 1975, Les mutations consonantiques (occlusives) en indo-européen, in *Mélanges linguistiques offerts à Émile Benveniste*, Louvain: Peeters, 267–272.
- Jasanoff, Jay H. 2004, Plus ça change... Lachmann’s law in Latin, in John H. W. Penney (ed.), *Indo-European perspectives: Studies in honour of Anna Morpurgo Davies*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 405–416.

- Jasanoff, Jay H. 2017, *The prehistory of the Balto-Slavic accent*, Leiden: Brill.
- Kloekhorst, Alwin 2014, *Accent in Hittite*, Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Kloekhorst, Alwin 2015, Proto-Indo-European “thorn”-clusters, *Historische Sprachforschung* 127, 43–67.
- Kloekhorst, Alwin 2016, The Anatolian stop system and the Indo-Hittite hypothesis, *Indogermanische Forschungen* 121, 213–247.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 1972, *Modelling the phoneme: New trends in East European phonemic theory*, The Hague: Mouton.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 1975, *Slavic accentuation: A study in relative chronology*, Lisse: Peter de Ridder.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 1977, Historical laws of Baltic accentuation, *Baltistica* 13(2), 319–330.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 1978, On the history of the genitive plural in Slavic, Baltic, Germanic, and Indo-European, *Lingua* 45, 281–300.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 1985a, Long vowels in Balto-Slavic, *Baltistica* 21(2), 112–124.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 1985b, Proto-Indo-European glottalic stops: The comparative evidence, *Folia Linguistica Historica* 6(2), 183–201.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 1989, Od praindoevropskog jezika do slovenskog (fonološki razvoj), *Zbornik za Filologiju i Lingvistiku* 32(2), 41–58.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 1994, From Proto-Indo-European to Slavic, *Journal of Indo-European Studies* 22, 91–112.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 2002, Shortening and metatony in the Lithuanian future, *Baltistica* 37(1), 15–16.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 2007, *Italo-Celtic origins and prehistoric development of the Irish language* (= *Leiden Studies in Indo-European* 14), Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 2009, *Baltica & Balto-Slavica* (= *Leiden Studies in Indo-European* 16), Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 2010, *Studies in Germanic, Indo-European and Indo-Uralic* (= *Leiden Studies in Indo-European* 17), Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 2011, *Selected writings on Slavic and general linguistics* (= *Studies in Slavic and General Linguistics* 39), Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 2012, The early chronology of long vowels in Balto-Slavic, *Baltistica* 47(2), 249–254.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 2013, Balto-Slavic personal pronouns and their accentuation, *Baltistica* 48(1), 5–11.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 2014a, Reconstructing Balto-Slavic and Indo-European, *Baltistica* 49(1), 5–13.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 2014b, Metatony in monosyllables, *Baltistica* 49(2), 217–224.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 2015a, Sigmatic and asigmatic long vowel preterit forms, *Journal of Indo-European Studies* 43, 236–242.

- Kortlandt, Frederik 2015b, The development of vowel length in Slavic, *Jezikoslovní Zapiski* 21(2), 21–30.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 2016, Baltic, Slavic, Germanic, *Baltistica* 51(1), 81–86.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 2017a, On method, *Baltistica* 52(1), 33–45.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 2017b, What is Stang’s law?, *Baltistica* 52(1), 73–80.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 2017c, Proto-Indo-European glottalic consonants, *Münchener Studien zur Sprachwissenschaft* 71(1), 147–160.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 2018a, The Indo-European *k*-aorist, in Lucien van Beek, Alwin Kloekhorst, Guus Kroonen, Michaël Peyrot, Tijmen Pronk, Michiel de Vaan (eds.), *Farnah: Indo-Iranian and Indo-European studies in honor of Sasha Lubotsky*, Ann Arbor: Beech Stave, 137–142.
- Kortlandt, Frederik 2018b, The origins of the Slavic aorist, in *Dutch contributions to the 16th International Congress of Slavists: Linguistics* (= *Studies in Slavic and General Linguistics* 43), Leiden: Brill (forthcoming).
- Kortlandt, Frederik 2018c, The expansion of the Indo-European languages, *Journal of Indo-European Studies* 46, 219–231.
- Larsson, Jenny H. 2004, Metatony and length in Baltic, in Adam Hyllested, Anders Richardt Jørgensen, Jenny Helena Larsson (eds.), *Per aspera ad asteriscos* (Fs. Rasmussen), Innsbruck: Institut für Sprachwissenschaft, 305–322.
- Lubotsky, Alexander 2007, Sanskrit *na*-participles and the glottalic theory, in Alan J. Nussbaum (ed.), *Verba docenti* (Fs. Jasanoff), Ann Arbor: Beech Stave, 231–235.
- Lubotsky, Alexander 2013, The Vedic paradigm for ‘water’, in Adam I. Cooper, Jeremy Rau, Michael Weiss (eds.), *Multi nominis grammaticus* (Fs. Nussbaum), Ann Arbor: Beech Stave, 159–164.
- Martinet, André 1953, Remarques sur le consonantisme sémitique, *Bulletin de la Société de Linguistique de Paris* 49, 67–78.
- Pedersen, Holger 1951, *Die gemeinindoeuropäischen und die vorindoeuropäischen Verschlusslaute* (= *Historisk-filologiske Meddelelser* 32(5)), København: Munksgaard.
- Petit, Daniel 2010, *Untersuchungen zu den baltischen Sprachen*, Leiden: Brill.
- Pronk-Tiethoff, Saskia 2013, *The Germanic loanwords in Proto-Slavic* (= *Leiden Studies in Indo-European* 20), Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- Schuyt, Roel 1990, *The morphology of Slavic verbal aspect* (= *Studies in Slavic and General Linguistics* 14), Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- Stuart-Smith, Jane 2004, *Phonetics and philology: Sound change in Italic*, Oxford: University Press.
- Swadesh, Morris 1971, *The origin and diversification of language*, Chicago: Aldine-Atherton.
- Trautmann, Reinhold 1923, *Baltisch-slavisches Wörterbuch*, Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- de Vaan, Michiel 2004, ‘Narten’ roots from the Avestan point of view, in Adam Hyllested, Anders Richardt Jørgensen, Jenny Helena Larsson (eds.), *Per aspera ad asteriscos* (Fs. Rasmussen), Innsbruck: Institut für Sprachwissenschaft, 591–599.

Vermeer, Willem 1992, In the beginning was the lengthened grade: On the continuity of Proto-Indo-European vowel quantity in Slavic, in Robert Beekes, Alexander Lubotsky, Jos Weitenberg (eds.), *Rekonstruktion und relative Chronologie: Akten der VIII. Fachtagung der Indogermanischen Gesellschaft, Leiden, 31. August–4. September, 1987*, Innsbruck: Institut für Sprachwissenschaft, 115–136.

Wackernagel, Jakob 1896, *Altindische Grammatik 1: Lautlehre*, Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.

Yoshida, Kazuhiko 2012, The loss of intervocalic laryngeals in Sanskrit and its historical implications, in Jared S. Klein, Kazuhiko Yoshida (eds.), *Indic across the millennia: From the Rigveda to modern Indo-Aryan: 4th World Sanskrit conference, Kyoto, Japan, September 1st–5th, 2009*, Bremen: Hempen, 237–246.

Žovtobrjux, Myxajlo A. 1965, Pryholosni v ukrajins'komu usnomu literaturnomu movlenni, in *Zakonomirnosti rozvytku ukrajins'koho usnoho literaturnoho movlennja*, Kyjiv: Naukova dumka, 55–84.

Frederik KORTLANDT
Cobetstraat 24
NL-2313 KC Leiden
The Netherlands
[f.kortlandt@hum.leidenuniv.nl]

